IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

KIMBERLY SMRKE	- :
609 Strawbridge Ln.	:
West Grove, Pa 19390	: CIVIL ACTION
Plaintiff,	: No
v.	:
SUN EAST FINANCIAL SERVICES, LLC d/b/a SUN EAST FEDERAL CREDIT UNION 4500 Pennell Rd. Aston, PA 19014 and SUN EAST FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC. d/b/a SUN EAST FEDERAL CREDIT UNION	: JURY TRIAL DEMANDED : :
201 Pennell Rd.	:
Aston, PA 19014	:
Defendants.	: : :

CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, by and through his undersigned counsel, hereby avers as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. This action has been initiated by Kimberly Smrke (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff," unless indicated otherwise) against Sun East Financial Services, LLC and Sun East Financial Services, Inc. (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Defendants" unless indicated otherwise) for violations of the Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA" -42 USC §§ 12101 et. seq.), the Age Discrimination in Employment Act ("ADEA" - 29 U.S.C. §§ 621 et. seq.), the

Pennsylvania Human Relations Act ("PHRA")¹ and Pennsylvania common law. As a direct consequence of Defendants' unlawful actions, Plaintiff seeks damages as set forth herein.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. This Court, in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1331, has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims because this civil action arises under laws of the United States.
- 3. This Court may properly maintain personal jurisdiction over Defendants because Defendants' contacts with this state and this judicial district are sufficient for the exercise of jurisdiction over Defendants to comply with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice, satisfying the standard set forth by the United States Supreme Court in International Shoe Co. v. Washington, 326 U.S. 310 (1945) and its progeny.
- 4. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) and (b)(2), venue is properly laid in this district because all of the acts and/or omissions giving rise to the claims set forth herein occurred in this judicial district, and in addition, Defendants are deemed to reside where they are subject to personal jurisdiction, rendering Defendants residents of the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.
- 5. Plaintiff is proceeding herein under ADA and ADEA and has properly exhausted her administrative remedies with respect to such claims by timely filing a Charge of Discrimination with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") and by filing the instant lawsuit within ninety (90) days of receiving a notice of dismissal and/or right to sue letter from the EEOC.

¹ Plaintiff will move to amend her instant lawsuit to include a claim under the PHRA once her administrative remedies are fully exhausted with the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission. Any claims under the PHRA though would mirror the instant ADA and ADEA claims identically.

PARTIES

- 6. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
 - 7. Plaintiff is an adult individual, with an address as set forth in the caption.
- 8. Defendants operate as a Federal Credit Union serving PA and DE offering Online banking, loans, checking and savings accounts, credit and debit cards, and IRAs.
 - 9. Plaintiff was employed with Defendants' Nottingham, Pennsylvania location.
- 10. Upon information and belief, because of their interrelation of operations, common ownership or management, centralized control of labor relations, common ownership or financial controls, and other factors, Defendants are sufficiently interrelated and integrated in their activities, labor relations, ownership and management that they may be treated as a single and/or joint employer for purposes of the instant action.
- 11. At all times relevant herein, Defendants acted by and through their agents, servants and employees, each of whom acted at all times relevant herein in the course and scope of their employment with and for Defendants.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 12. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
 - 13. Plaintiff is 51-years-old.
- 14. Plaintiff was hired and began working for Defendants on or about November 28, 2016.
- 15. Plaintiff was employed with Defendants as a Senior Teller working at Defendants' Nottingham, Pennsylvania location.

- 16. In her role as Senior Teller for Defendants' Nottingham, PA location, Plaintiff reported to one, Bridgette Zell (hereinafter "Zell" approximate 31 years old), Assistant Manager.
- 17. In or about March of 2017, Plaintiff injured her knee while performing work for Defendants.
- 18. Plaintiff reported her aforesaid knee injury to Defendants' management and subsequently filed a worker's compensation claim related to said injury.
- 19. As a result of her aforesaid knee condition, Plaintiff was (and continues to be) required to attend physical therapy and is (at times) limited in her ability to perform some daily life activities including but not limited to walking, running, standing, jumping, bending, driving, and working.
- 20. Despite her disabilities and physical limitations (discussed *supra*), Plaintiff was still able to perform the duties of her job well with Defendants; however, she did occasionally require reasonable accommodations, including but not limited to intermittent and block medical leave.
- 21. For example, Plaintiff requested and took a brief medical leave of absence from in or about March of 2017 through on or about April 3, 2017 in order to care for and treat for her disabilities.
- 22. Plaintiff also requested and was granted the ability to leave approximately one hour early on Mondays, Wednesdays and Fridays in order to attend physical therapy for her aforesaid health conditions.
- 23. After disclosing her disabilities and need for reasonable accommodations (discussed *supra*) to Defendants' management, Plaintiff was treated in a hostile manner by Defendants' management and her co-workers, including but not limited to subjecting her to discriminatory comments related to her health conditions and medical accommodations.

4

expressing frustration with Plaintiff's need to leave one hour early three days a week, and selectively enforcing policies against her.

- 24. For example, (1) Plaintiff's co-workers would make derogatory jokes about Plaintiff having a "nice schedule" (in reference to her having to leave one hour early from work three days out of the week); (2) on the day of her termination (April 26, 2017) Zell commented that she [Zell] had therapy a couple of years ago and knows that Plaintiff is just going home early (on days that she had to attend physical therapy); and (3) Zell made other negative references towards Plaintiff's health conditions and her need for time off related to her disabilities.²
- 25. In addition to being subjected to discriminatory treatment based on her disabilities and requests for/utilization of medical accommodations, Plaintiff was also treated in a disparate and discriminatory manner because of her advanced age by her co-workers and Defendants' management.³
- 26. Unlike Plaintiff's younger co-workers, she was treated in a rude and condescending manner, largely ignored, forced to work primarily at the drive-thru station, and was subjected to discriminatory comments related to her age.
- 27. The age-related discriminatory comments that Plaintiff was subjected to included but were not limited to (1) telling Plaintiff that customers did not want to go to her because she was older and the customers preferred younger tellers; (2) telling Plaintiff that she gets her nails

² The aforesaid discriminatory comments described in this Paragraph is not an all-inclusive list and is only intended to be examples of the derogatory comments that were made to Plaintiff in advance of her discrimination and retaliatory termination.

³ At the time of her termination from Defendants, Plaintiff was one of the oldest employees at her location.

done like a grandmother; (3) asking Plaintiff how she is; and (4) telling Plaintiff that she is probably old enough to be [one of the teller's] grandmother.

- 28. In the weeks preceding her termination, Plaintiff complained to Defendants' management, including but not limited to Zell, Tracy Eller (Manager), and Barbi Denham regarding the aforementioned age discrimination that she was being subjected within Defendants.
- 29. Defendants did not properly investigate or resolve Plaintiff's concerns of age discrimination in any meaningful way.
- 30. Instead, following her complaints of age discrimination, Plaintiff was subjected to increased hostility and animosity which continued up and through the time of her termination.
- 31. On the day of her termination (April 26, 2017), Plaintiff was asked to stay late (as Thursdays are considered "late" days at Plaintiff's location). However, Defendants' typical practice is to rotate the employees who would stay late each Thursday.
- 32. On April 26, 2017, Plaintiff inquired as to why she was being asked to stay late and not others, as she stayed late on Thursday the weeks prior. Zell responded by making negative remarks regarding her medical condition and accommodations (which were referenced *supra*).
- 33. Further, when Plaintiff suggested that another teller stay late because this particular teller left early the Thursday before and would be leaving early on Thursday of the next week, Zell responded by stating that she did not believe Plaintiff's suggestion was fair because Plaintiff would leave early on Mondays, Wednesdays, and Fridays (even though Zell knew that Plaintiff was leaving early on those days because of her health conditions and need to attend physical therapy).
- 34. Plaintiff was thereafter terminated from her employment with Defendants for alleged insubordination, which is completely false and pretextual.

6

First Cause of Action <u>Violations of the Americans with Disabilities Act, as amended ("ADA")</u> (Actual/Perceived/Record of Disability Discrimination & Retaliation) -Against Both Defendants-

- 35. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
- 36. Plaintiff suffered from qualifying health conditions under the ADA (as amended), which (at times) affected her ability to perform some daily life activities (as discussed *supra*).
- 37. Plaintiff requested reasonable accommodations, in the form of medical leave and the ability to leave one hour early three days per week (to attend physical therapy)
- 38. Plaintiff was terminated from her employment (1) shortly after apprising management of her disabilities; (2) in close proximity to when she requested and/or utilized reasonable medical accommodations; (3) at a time when he was confiding in Defendants' management about her aforesaid health conditions; and (4) after being subjected to derogatory comments about her medical conditions and/or need for accommodations
- 39. Plaintiff was terminated from Defendants because of: (1) her known and/or perceived disabilities; (2) her record of impairment; and/or (3) because she requested accommodations, which constitutes unlawful retaliation.
- 40. Plaintiff was subjected to a hostile work environment through disparate treatment, pretextual admonishment, and demeaning and/or discriminatory treatment towards her because of:

 (1) her known and/or perceived disabilities; (2) her record of impairment; and/or (3) because she requested accommodations, which constitutes unlawful retaliation.
 - 41. These actions as aforesaid constitute violations of the ADA, as amended.

Second Cause of Action <u>Common-Law Wrongful Discharge</u> (Public Policy Violation) -Against Both Defendants-

- 42. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
- 43. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff was terminated in substantial part for making a claim for workers' compensation benefits and/or seeking worker's compensation benefits and/or for her work-related injuries (as discussed *supra*).
- 44. It is against Pennsylvania's public policy for an employee to be terminated for making a workers' compensation claim and/or seeking workers' compensation benefits. These actions as aforesaid constitute wrongful termination in Pennsylvania. *See Shick v. Shirey*, 552 Pa. 590, 716 A.2d 1231 (1997); *Rothrock v. Rothrock Motor Sales, Inc.*, 584 Pa. 297, 883 A.2d 511, 516 (2005).
- 45. The temporal proximity and the animosity Plaintiff was subjected to between her claim for workers' compensation and her termination creates an inference that her termination was in retaliation for making such a claim.
 - 46. These actions as aforesaid constitute wrongful termination in Pennsylvania.

Third Cause of Action <u>Violations of the Age Discrimination in Employment Act ("ADEA")</u> ([1] Age Discrimination; [2] Retaliation; and [3] Hostile Work Environment) -Against both Defendants-

47. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.

- 48. Plaintiff was subjected to a hostile work environment through disparate treatment, pretextual admonishment, and demeaning and/or discriminatory treatment towards her because of her age and/or complaints of age discrimination.
- 49. In the weeks leading up to her termination from Defendants, Plaintiff complained of age discrimination to Defendant's management who failed to properly investigate or resolve her concerns.
- 50. Plaintiff was thereafter subjected to further animosity and hostility up and through the time of her termination.
- 51. Plaintiff believes and therefore avers that she was terminated from her employment with Defendants because of her advanced age and/or because of her complaints of age discrimination.
- 52. These actions as aforesaid constitute unlawful retaliation under the ADEA.

 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that this Court enter an Order providing that:
- A. Defendants are to compensate Plaintiff, reimburse Plaintiff, and make Plaintiff whole for any and all pay and benefits Plaintiff would have received had it not been for Defendants' illegal actions, including but not limited to back pay, front pay, salary, pay increases, bonuses, insurance, benefits, training, promotions, reinstatement, and seniority.
- B. Plaintiff is to be awarded punitive and/or liquidated damages, as permitted by applicable law, in an amount believed by the Court or trier of fact to be appropriate to punish Defendants for their willful, deliberate, malicious and outrageous conduct and to deter Defendants or other employers from engaging in such misconduct in the future;

- C. Plaintiff is to be accorded other equitable and legal relief as the Court deems just, proper, and appropriate (including but not limited to damages for emotional distress / pain and suffering);
- D. Plaintiff is to be awarded the costs and expenses of this action and reasonable attorney's fees as provided by applicable federal and state law.

Respectfully submitted,

KARPF, KARPF & CERUTTI, P.C.

By:

Ari R. Karpf, Esq. 3331 Street Road

Two Greenwood Square, Suite 128

Bensalem, PA 19020

(215) 639-0801

Dated: February 15, 2018

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Telephone	FAX Num	ıber	E-Mail Address	
(215) 639-0801	(215) 639-49	970	akarpf@karpf-law.com	
Date	Attorney-a	rt-law	Attorney for	
2/15/2018			Plaintiff	
(f) Standard Management -	Cases that do no	ot fall into an	y one of the other tracks.	(X)
the court. (See reverse s management cases.)	complex and the	at need speci for a detailed	al or intense management by l explanation of special	
(d) Asbestos – Cases involvexposure to asbestos.				()
			tration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	
(b) Social Security - Cases of and Human Services der	requesting review Lying plaintiff Sc	v of a decision ocial Security	on of the Secretary of Health Benefits.	()
(a) Habeas Corpus - Cases	brought under 28	U.S.C. § 22	41 through § 2255.	()
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	OLLOWING CA	ASE MANA	GEMENT TRACKS:	
plaintiff shall complete a Ca filing the complaint and serv side of this form.) In the c designation, that defendant s the plaintiff and all other pa to which that defendant bell	se Management ea copy on all de except that a defe shall, with its firsties, a Case Mareves the case sho	Track Designation of the Track Designation of	•	ne o verso said ve or
SUN EAST FEDERAL CREDIT	•	. I Dat		
SUN EAST FINANCIAL SERVI		:	NO.	
v.				
KIMBERLY SMRKI	E .		CIVIL ACTION	

(Clv. 660) 10/02

The second secon

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to assignment to appropriate calendar,	be used by counsel to indicate the cutegory of the case for the purpose of						
Address of Plaintiff: 609 Strawbridge Lane, West Grove, PA 19390							
Address of Defendant: 4500 Pennell Road, Aston, PA 19014; 201 Pennell Road, Aston, PA 19014							
Phone of Accident, Incident or Transporton: Defendants place of business							
(Use Reverse Side For	Additional Space)						
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation	and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?						
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.16	a)) Yes No.						
Does this case involve multidistrict lingation possibilities?	Yes No X						
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	Date Terminated:						
Case Number: Judge	. Date Temphated.						
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is unswered to any of the following questions:	•						
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one	year previously terminated action in this court?						
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior	Yes No No No No Vest Interviously terminated						
 Does this case involve the same issue of fact of grow out of the same managed on as a prior action in this court? 	a one linearing or where one your provided, comments						
	Yes□ No□						
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlie	er numbered case pending or within one year previously						
terminated action in this court?	Yes□ No□						
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rig	ahts case filed by the same individual?						
4. Is this case a scould or advecessive material confuss sections of the property of the prope	Yes□ No□						
CIVIL: (Place / in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)							
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:						
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts						
2. D FELA	2. □ Airpiane Personal Injury						
3. D Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. D Assault, Defamation						
4. D Antitrust	4. D Marine Personal Injury						
5. © Patent	5. D Motor Vehicle Personal Injury						
6. C Labor-Management Relations	6. C Other Personal Injury (Please specify)						
7. M Civil Rights	7. D Products Liability						
	8. D Products Liability — Asbestos						
8. of Habeas Corpus	9. All other Diversity Cases						
9. Securities Act(a) Cases	(Please specify)						
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(2 topoc observe)						
11. All other Federal Question Cases (Picase specify)	:						
ARBITRATION CER (Check Appropriate	ATIFICATION						
, Avi R Karnf , counsel of record do hereby co	ntify:						
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge as	nd belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of						
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; Relief office than monetary damages is sought.							
	ARK2484						
DATE: 2/15/2018	ARKZ-404 Attorney I.D.# 91538						
Attomoy-nt-Law NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if	there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.						
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not respect to any case new pending	or within one year previously terminated action in this court						
except as noted above.	•						
2/15/2010	ARK2484						
DATE: 2/15/2018 Attorney-at-Law	Atterney 1.D.# 91 538						
CTV. 609 (5/2012)	סנכוע						

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS		
SMRKE, KIMBERLY			SUN EAST FINANCIAL SERVICES, LLC d/b/a SUN EAST FEDERAL CREDIT UNION, et al.			
(b) County of Residence of	f First Listed Plaintiff	Chester		County of Residence	of First Listed Defendant	Delaware
	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	SES)			(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES O	
				NOTE: IN LAND CO THE TRACT	NDEMNATION CASES, USE TH OF LAND INVOLVED.	HE LOCATION OF
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name,)	Adress and Telephone Number			Attorneys (If Known)		
Karpf, Karpf & Cerutti, l			Square			
Suite 128, Bensalem, PA						
Suite 120, Benbuleni, 17.	. 1,020, (215) 05, 05	2, m.m.p.				
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in Oi	ne Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF PI (For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintif f and One Box for Defendant)
1 U.S. Government	X 3 Federal Question			PT		PTF DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government)	lot a Party)	Citize	on of This State	I Incorporated <i>or</i> Prin of Business In T	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi	p of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	2 2 Incorporated and Pri of Business In A	
				on or Subject of a reign Country	3 3 Foreign Nation	6 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	[(Place an "X" in One Box On	(y)				of Suit Code Descriptions.
E CONTRAC'L		RTS)RIGHTHROUGHY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHERSTATUTES
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury -	Y 0 62	5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	' 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ' 423 Withdrawal	375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC)
D 120 Marine D 130 Miller Act	310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product	Product Liability	ß 69	0 Other	28 USC 157	3729(a))
 140 Negotiable Instrument 	Liability	367 Health Care/			= augerage segment	400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust
150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	' 320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Pharmaceutical Personal Injury			820 Copyrights	0 430 Banks and Banking
151 Medicare Act	' 330 Federal Employers'	Product Liability			0 830 Patent	5 450 Commerce
152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	5 368 Asbestos Personal		•	0 835 Patent - Abbreviated	460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	' 340 Marine ' 345 Marine Product	Injury Product Liability	1		New Drug Application 840 Trademark	Corrupt Organizations
153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPER	TY 🚃	LABOR	SOCIALSECURITY	0 480 Consumer Credit
of Veteran's Benefits	1 350 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud	□ 7 <u>1</u>	0 Fair Labor Standards	' 861 HIA (1395ff)	0 490 Cable/Sat TV 0 850 Securities/Commodities/
160 Stockholders' Suits	' 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal	n 72	Act 0 Labor/Management	0 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	Exchange
190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability	' 360 Other Personal	Property Damage		Relations	0 864 SSID Title XVI	890 Other Statutory Actions
196 Franchise	Injury	385 Property Damage		O Railway Labor Act	' 865 RSI (405(g))	891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters
	' 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Product Liability	/3	l Family and Medical Leave Act		895 Freedom of Information
* SREAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS 4	=PRISONER PETITIO	VS 0 79	0 Other Labor Litigation	EEDERAL TAX SUITS	Act
210 Land Condemnation	440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:	a 79	1 Employee Retirement	0 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure
220 Foreclosure	0 441 Voting	 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate 	.	Income Security Act	or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party	Act/Review or Appeal of
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land	442 Employment 443 Housing/	Sentence	<i>'</i>		26 USC 7609	Agency Decision
245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	0 530 General				 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
290 All Other Real Property	X 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	0 535 Death Penalty	П 44	IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Application		State Statutes
	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	Other: 540 Mandamus & Oth		55 Other Immigration		
	Other	550 Civil Rights		Actions		
	448 Education	555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee -				
		Conditions of				
		Confinement				
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X"	n One Box Only)			_		
	emoved from 0 3 ate Court	Appellate Court		pened Anothe	erred from 6 Multidist er District Litigatio Transfer	n - Litigation - Direct File
	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	ntute under which you a	re filing (1	Do not cite jurisdictional state	ttes unless diversity):	ent Act "ADEA" (29USC62
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	ON Americans w/Dis	abilities Act "ADA	1 (42U)	SCIZIUI); Age Disc	annination in Employm	IGHT AUT ADEA (2903C02
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI		use: ADA, ADEA and	the PHR	? A		
XIII DECLECTED IN				DEMAND S	CHECK YES only	y if demanded in complaint:
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.		THE	JURY DEMAND	
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	HIDGE			DOCKET NUMBER	
	······································	JUDGE	1000	OF BEAONB		
DATE 2/15/2018		SIGNATURE OF AT	JORNEY	OF RECORD		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY						
RECEIPT# A	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. JU	IDGE

Print

Save As...

Reset